Development of two definite marking strategies in Manado Malay

Asako Shiohara and Anthony Jukes

This presentation follows up research by Jukes and Shiohara (2016) on the referential strategy of Manado Malay. We noted two definite marking strategies observed in the language, namely *tu*, the reduced form of the demonstrative *itu*, which is preposed to the head noun, and *depe*, the third person possessive form. This research aims to further describe the use of each form. Data was elicited using a procedural (cooking) video as stimulus, and also obtained by translation of selected sentences from standard Indonesian.

The data obtained by translation shows quite clearly that *tu* marks a large range of textualsituational definiteness, while *depe* marks so-called bridging cross-reference or associative definiteness (Lyons 1999: 4, 7, 161, and 198). *Depe*, however, retains the feature of possessive in that it is used only when the whole referent of *depe* NP (X) exhibits a partwhole relation to the given entity (Y), in the way that X is a part of Y.

Table 1 shows the definite marking strategy of XMM contrasted with standard written Indonesian (Shiohara 2014), colloquial Indonesian (Englebretson (2003) and Djenar (2014).

	Standard (written) Indonesian	Colloquial Indonesian	XMM
textual-situational	itu	itu/tuh ini/ nih nya	tu
non textual- situational	φ	nya	ϕ / depe

Table 1: definite marking strategy in three Malay varieties

Observing larger texts elicited from four speakers (H, I, D and A) using a stimulus video shows variation among speakers in the choice of definite marking, though the basic pragmatic distinction shown in Table 1 was maintained by every speaker.

Interestingly, one of the four speakers (H) preferred employing *depe* throughout the narrative, and other two preferred marking with *tu* (I, D) especially for a textual-situational definite referent. The other one speaker (A) rarely used either of the markers. The variation in usage suggests that the two forms are in the process of being established as definite markers and the two strategies are competing in the actual usage of individual speakers.

References;

Djenar, Dwi Noverini. 2014. Nih and tuh as spatial deixis in imagined interaction. Nusa vol.55. 27-46.

Englebretson. 2003. Searching for Structure: The problem of complementation in colloquial Indonesian conversation. John Benjamins.

Lyons, Christopher. 1999. Definitenss. Cambridge University Press.

Shiohara, Asako. 2014. Information status in Standard Indonesian. A paper presented at ISMIL18 on 13-15 June 2014 at Procida, Naples, Italy.