Notes on the etymology of the Malay-Indonesian suffix -kan

Uri Tadmor

Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology Abstract for the 10th International Symposium on Malay/Indonesian Linguistics University of Delaware, 21-23 April 2006

One of the most productive affixes in Malay-Indonesian is the suffix -kan, which forms transitive verbs. It appears to be transparently derived from the preposition akan, whose meaning corresponds vaguely to 'about, regarding'. This hypothetical development is shown in examples (1) - (3).

- (1) Aku mimpi. 1sg dream 'I dream.'
- (2) Aku mimpi akan dia. 1sg dream AKAN3SG 'I dream about him/her.'
- (3) Aku mimpikan dia. 1sg dream-KAN 3SG 'I dream about him/her'

However, there are some complications with this straightforward analysis. First, the functions of the suffix -kan are demonstrably different from those of the free morpheme akan; its main meaning is causative (as in example 4 below), and secondarily benefactive (example 5), although the meaning of 'about, regarding' is still preserved in some verbs, as in example 3 above. Very often it merely derives transitive verbs with no additional meaning (example 6).

- (4) Anak itu memalukan ibunya.
 child that ACT-embarrassed-KAN mother-3SG.POSS
 'The child made his mother embarrassed'
 =That child embarrassed his mother.'
- (5) Ibu membelikan anaknya bola. mother ACT-buy-KAN child-3SG.POSS ball 'The mother bought her child a ball.'
- (6) Anak itu melemparkan bola kepada ibunya. child that ACT-throw-KAN ball to mother-3SG.POSS 'The child threw a ball to his mother.'

Second, those Malay dialects which have preserved a cognate of *akan* as a free morpheme, such as Ambonese Malay (*a*)*kang*, exhibit even more divergent functions, such as 3rd person inanimate pronoun ('it', example 7), and determiner (example 8).

- (7) Mar be su kase kang par Anis! (van Minde 223) but TRU-1SG PFCT give KANG to Anis 'But I've already given it to Anis!
- (8) Akang gunting di mana? (van Minde 150)

 AKANG scissors at where

 'Where are **the** scissors?'

Third, both *akan* and *-kan* appear bear a phonetic resemblance to other function morphemes that do not seem coincidental, such as the directional preposition *ka*- (modern Malay-Indonesian *ke*-), the future marker *akan*, and the adversative/involuntary passive prefix *ka*-, present in Javanese, Sundanese, and some dialects of Malay-Indonesian.

Fourth, and most importantly, the suffix -kan has clear cognates (representing the expected sound correspondences, as well as close semantic and syntactic resemblances) in several other languages, such as Old Javanese (and modern Krama) -aken, Sundanese -keun, and Toba Batak -hon. If the development from akan to -kan were indeed a recent internal development in Malay, why should the suffix have cognates in other languages, none of which—it should be added—also have a free morpheme corresponding to akan?

This paper will address the four issues mentioned above and propose some explanations, citing data from several varieties of Malay-Indonesian as well as other Austronesian languages.